## ORBITAL STRUCTURE AND DYNAMICAL EVOLUTION OF

Patryk Sofia Lykawka (パトリックソフィアリカフィカ) pa 近畿大学 総合社会学部 天文学分野

patryksan@gmail.com

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

## OUTLINE

- I: Introduction and motivation
- II: Dynamical stability and planet migration
- III: Stable TNO populations and general implications
- IV: Scenarios for the origin and evolution of TNOs
- V: Main conclusions

## I – Introduction and motivation

### **Trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs): icy/rocky bodies orbiting beyond Neptune**

### TNOs orbital distribution Main populations: classical, resonant\*, scattered, and detached TNOs

(Gladman et al. 2002; Morbidelli & Brown 2004; Elliot et al. 2005; Delsanti & Jewitt 2006; Lykawka & Mukai 2007b; Gladman et al. 2008)

 Associated with mean motion resonances (MMRs) with Neptune

### **Evolutionary processes**: planet migration, collisional evolution and gravitational sculpting by the planets

(Malhotra 1995; Hahn & Malhotra 1999; Kenyon & Luu 1998, 1999; Kenyon & Bromley 2004; Morbidelli 2006; Chiang et al. 2006; Kuiper Belt Book 2008; Lykawka 2012)



## The scattered disk (> 50 AU)

(Torbett 1989; Holman & Wisdom 1993; Duncan & Levison 1997; Morbidelli *et al.* 2004)

#### q < 40 AU:

Dynamics dominated by Neptune's gravitational scattering + MMR sticking

Likely the main source of Centaurs and their daughter short-period comets

(Ip & Fernandez 1991; Duncan & Levison 1997; Volk & Malhotra 2008)

**q > 40 AU:** Dynamically quasi-static



(Kuiper Belt book 2008; Petit et al. 2011; Fraser and collaborators 2008-2014: Brown and collaborators 2005-2014)

**Main physical properties** 





 $\bigstar$  A few (?) 10<sup>5</sup> TNOs with D > 100 km

**★** Total mass around 0.1 Earth mass?

★ Albedos 0.03-0.30 in general

★ Rock and ice compositions ( $H_2O$ ,  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_3OH$ ,  $NH_3$ ,  $CH_4$ , CO,  $N_2 など$ )







★ Distinct inclination, radial, color, size and albedo distributions!

 $\bigstar$  Surprisingly high orbital excitation and fine structure

(Kuiper Belt book 2008)

- (Dawson & Murray-Clay 2012)
- → What are the main mechanisms responsible for this structure?



**U**upiter

rojans

(wikipedia)



### Trojans

Objects orbiting about the Lagrangian L4 and L5 points in their 1:1 MMR Typically lie ~60 deg ahead of or behind the position of the planet

Jupiter Trojans: More than 3000 objects ★ Intrinsic population may exceed the population of asteroids within the same size range!

(Sheppard & Trujillo 2006)

## Neptune Trojans: Nine objects ★ Intrinsic population is at least as large as the Jovians (Chiang et al. 2003; Chiang & Lithwick 2005; Lykawka et al. 2009, 2011)

Surprisingly: e = 0-0.1(5) and i = 0-30(35)deg → How to reconcile this with the KB and planet formation?



### **Outstanding questions**

→ What caused the orbital excitation of the Kuiper belt? (excitation of e and i around 40-50 AU)

→ How to explain the four main classes of TNOs?

In particular, the fine structure of **cold and hot classical TNOs** AND **resonant populations** 

→ How TNOs and other minor body populations are related?

Ex: Centaurs, planetary Trojans, irregular satellites, outer main belt asteroids, etc.

→ How the giant planets (and extra planets) evolved to produce the current KB?

# II – Dynamical stability and planet migration



Initial Eccentricity



<sup>(</sup>Duncan et al. 1995)

### Stability maps of TNOs (i = 0)



Initial Eccentricity

<sup>(</sup>Duncan et al. 1995)

### Stability map of TNOs (all i)



Strong perturbations at q < 35 AU

Perturbations also seen near 42 AU and around the 5:3, 7:4 and 2:1 resonances

★ Long-term sculpting of "weaker" MMRs plays an important role in the region

★ Missing stable objects in the outer region...



### Strength of MMRs beyond Neptune



### Strength/stickiness of MMRs beyond Neptune (Lykawka & Mukai 2007c)



### Planet migration in the solar system

Stage 1: Driven by interactions of the (giant) planets with the nebular gas over the first few Myr (Morbidelli et al. 2007; Pierens & Raymond 2011; Kley & Nelson 2012; D'Angelo & Marzari 2012)

Stage 2: Planet-planet or planet-embryo gravitational scattering can yield very rapid or chaotic radial displacements for any planet in the system (Brasser et al. 2009; Morbidelli et al. 2009; Morbi

Stage ③: Driven by interactions of the planets with the remaining disk planetesimals (in between and beyond)

(Fernandez & Ip 1984; Malhotra 1995; Hahn & Malhotra 1999; Chiang & Jordan 2002; Hahn & Malhotra 2005; Levison et al. 2007; Kirsh et al. 2009)



## III – Stable TNO populations and general implications

#### **Resonant TNO populations** 4:1 11:2 27:4 5:2 3:1 3:2 0.8 $\times$ 3:2 4:3 7:5 8:5 5:3 7:4 9:5 2:1 0.7 $\times$ 0.4 q=30 AU 0.6 Eccentricity 0.5 0.3 Eccentricity 0.4 0.2-0.3 0.2 0 0.1 0 37 40 50 30 80 130 Semimajor axis (AU)

Semimajor axis (AU)

★ Members from 1:1 to 27:4. Stable populations likely represent slowly decaying captured populations formed ~4 Gyr ago (Lykawka & Mukai 2007b) (Gladman et al. 2008)
 ★ About 15-25% of the entire TNO population (Petit et al. 2011; Gladman et al. 2012)
 ★ 3:2 and 5:2 are the most populous!

### 1:1 MMRs (Jupiter Trojans)



★ Approximately 25% of captured Jupiter Trojans survived 4 Gyr

Links Jupiter (Trojans) science with the primordial Kuiper belt

Similar results also in Nesvorny et al. 2013)

### 1:1 MMRs (Neptune Trojans)



### ★ Approximately 1-5% of captured Neptune Trojans survived 4 Gyr

Links Neptune (Trojans) science with the primordial Kuiper belt

### 1:1 MMRs (Saturn and Uranus Trojans)



★ Saturn and Uranus were able to capture a significant population of Trojan objects after planet migration



# What happened to captured Trojans of the four giant planets?

Table 2. Capture of Trojans by the giant planets at the end of planetary migration.

Planet	$\varepsilon_{\min}{}^{a}$	$\varepsilon_{\max}{}^{a}$	$M_{\min} (M_{\oplus})^{\mathrm{b}}$	$M_{\rm max} \ (M_{\oplus})^{\rm b}$
Jupiter	$5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
Saturn	$< 10^{-6}$	$10^{-5}$	$< 8 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$6 \cdot 10^{-5}$
Uranus	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$7 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Neptune	$3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$10^{-3}$	$4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-2}$

<sup>a</sup>Minimum and maximum capture efficiencies ( $\varepsilon_{\min}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\max}$ ). These calculations assume that a mass of between 13 and 25  $M_{\oplus}$  of material was initially present in the planetesimal disk through which the giant planets migrated (based on Lykawka and Horner, 2010).

<sup>b</sup>Estimated minimum and maximum masses of the captured Trojan populations for each of the giant planets at the end of their migration.

Lykawka & Horner (2010)

### "weak" MMRs: rich dynamical evolutions



★ MMRs in the classical region define the fine structure and affect stability in the same region
 e.g., complex evolution of classical objects within the 7:4 MMR
 ☆ "weak" MMRs also play an important role!

### "weak" MMRs (2): resonance sticking



(Lykawka & Mukai 2007c)

 ★ Scattered objects spend on average 38% of lifetimes locked in MMRs
 ★ N:1, N:2, and N:3 resonances dominate the dynamics with 100-1000 Myr captures (Kozai mechanism also common) (Fernandez et al. 2004; Gomes 2005; Gallardo 2006a,b; 2012)
 ☆ Suggests a SD consisting of scattering(ed) + resonant populations

## IV – Scenarios for the origin and evolution of TNOs

### Intrinsic resonant and classical populations



### **Planet migration**

(Fernandez & Ip 1984; Malhotra 1995; Hahn & Malhotra 1999; Hahn & Malhotra 2005; Levison et al. 2007; Kirsh et al. 2009; Campobianco et al. 2011)

### Chaotic orbital evolution during Stage 2:

Much faster timescales than smooth-residual migration Possible "instantaneous" capture of planetesimals in resonances

Smooth (residual) migration during Stage ③: Often modeled as an exponential migration

$$a_P(t) = a_i - \Delta a_P \cdot \exp(-t/\tau) \qquad a$$

$$a_{res} = a_N \left(\frac{j+k}{j}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

Neptunian sweeping resonances can capture planetesimals



### **Planet migration**

## Migration over a dynamically cold disk

(Malhotra 1995; Hahn & Malhotra 1999; Chiang & Jordan 2002)

★ In low-order resonances (3:2, 2:1, 5:3, etc), capture probability is high for planetesimals on initially low-e orbits

80

80

### **Origin of resonant TNOs: planet migration**

#### **Initially cold disk**

**Initially hot disk** 



★ Resonant TNOs partially reproduced, even beyond 50 AU (for an initially hot disk)



★ Resonance sticking cannot explain all stable populations, but capture during planet migration can. However, populous 5:2
 MMR and possibly the 5:1 MMR represent outstanding challenges
 ☆ Pre-excited Kuiper belt likely required

### Summary of main models proposed



### Smooth models (4GPs + 1EP)

(Lykawka & Mukai 2008)



★ Cold population and partial hot population insitu; remaining hot classicals deposited from inner regions of the planetesimal disk (< 30 AU)

★ Trojan populations partially ok

 $\bigstar$  Detached objects ok

Cold population too massive
Lack of high-i classicals and high-i Trojans

? More distant resonant population (>60 AU) unclear
? Difficult to reconcile with giant planet formation/evolution

### Instability models: Nice model



(Tsiganis et al. 2005; Morbidelli et al. 2005; Gomes et al. 2005 Morbidelli et al. 2007, 2010; Levison et al. 2008)

★ Both cold population and hot populations deposited from inner regions of the planetesimal disk (< 30 AU)

 $\star$  Trojan populations partially ok

**Detached objects unclear** 

unclear

?

(Levison et al. 2008)



### Instability models: multiplanet

(Nesvorny 2011; Batygin et al. 2012; Nesvorny & Morbidelli 2012)



★ Cold population insitu and hot populations deposited from inner regions of the planetesimal disk (< 30 AU)</p>

 $\bigstar$  Classicals apparently ok

2 Lack of high-i classicals2 Cold population structure unclear

Resonant population may emerge if Neptune jumped to *a* = 24-27 AU and circularized quickly (< 1 Myr)</li>
 Detached objects unclear

### Models and the source regions of TNOs



## V – Main conclusions



- A complex orbital structure to be explained: Classical (cold/hot/kernel), resonant (Trojans, KB and distant), scattering(ed), detached, peculiar TNOs and groupings
- Resonant population extended to at least ~108 AU
  - Stable niches strongly point to Neptune's migration over a dynamically pre-excited Kuiper belt
  - Resonance sticking and weak MMRs play an important role
  - Trojan populations and relative fractions of resonant TNOs likely to resolve migration behavior of Uranus and Neptune
- Some **new mechanism** is needed in modeling to account for Trojans and TNOs on high-i orbits (> 20 deg). Also, the dual nature of cold and hot classical TNOs with their fine orbital structure

### Future: instability-multiplanet models?

extra planets

**10-100's of large planetesimals** 



planet migration

The Outer Solar System NABE

### MMR crossings/ Planet-planet scatterings



## 皆さん、有難うございました!

E-mail: patryksan@gmail.com Web: sites.google.com/site/patryksofialykawka/