周惑星粒子円盤の進化と 衛星形成

Hyodo, Ohtsuki & Takeda (2014), ApJ in prep

兵頭龍樹¹, 大槻圭史¹, 武田隆顕²

¹Kobe University ²VASA Entmt. Co. Ltd

Introduction

Single Satellite System :

-Earth-Moon (M_s/M_c~0.012) -Mass ratio to the host planet M_s/M_c is relatively high (M_s: satellite mass, M_c: mass of the central planet)





image courtesy of NASA

Introduction



image courtesy of NASA

Multiple Satellite System :

-inner major satellites: nearly circular, coplanar orbits

- -located just outside Roche limit
- -small mass ratio to the host planet ($M_s/M_c^{-10^{-4}}$)
- -increasing mass with increasing radial distance
- -the existence of co-orbital satellites

Origin of Disks

Giant impact

credit: Don Davis

-Bassis-

Tidal distruption



Origin of multiple satellite system:

Crida & Charnoz (2012): 1D analytical model (M_{disk}/M_c~10⁻⁴)





Cassini found Peggy!! A new baby mo

6miles 750miles

credit: Murray et al (2014)

Issues with (or limitations to) previous works:

Ida et al (1997), Kokubo et al (2000): -aimed only for the formation of the Moon

Crida & Charnoz (2012): -Ignore satellite torques -> mass flux weakened & resonance capture? -Mass flux through Roche limit is constant -> should decrease with time -Accretion efficiency is 100% -> NOT 100% in the tidal field (Hyodo & Ohtsuki 2014)

•<u>This work:</u>

N-body simulations -Continuous accretion processes of multiple satellites

Numerical Method

Gas-free global N-body simulation
 -equations of motion

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{v_i}}{dt} = -GM_c \frac{\boldsymbol{x_i}}{|\boldsymbol{x_i}|^3} - \sum_{j \neq i}^N Gm_j \frac{\boldsymbol{x_i} - \boldsymbol{x_j}}{|\boldsymbol{x_i} - \boldsymbol{x_j}|^3}$$

-4th-order Hermite Method -Gravity calculation by GRAPE-DR system -Hard-sphere model (smooth particles with normal coefficient of restitution $\epsilon_n=0.1$)

Isolated aggregate sufficiently far from the Roche limit is replaced by a single body

Initial Disk Conditions

-Equal sized particles (N=30,000-50,000)

- -Radial distribution : $0.4-1.0a_R$ (a_R : Roche limit)
- -Specific Angular Momentum : 0.775sqrt{GM_cR_c}

-Initial disk mass : M_{disk,init} : 0.01-0.05M_c

-"massive disk" : M_{disk,init}=0.045M_c

-"less massive disk" : M_{disk,init}=0.0235M_c



Case of Massive Disk

Case of Massive Disk (MD,init=0.045Mc)

f=1T_K

Roche limit a_R

▶Tidal force soon disrupt the clumps and spiral patterns form.

Spirals extend radially outward and disk material is transferred outside the Roche limit.

▶Inside the Roche limit, tidal force prevents disk particles from gravitational accretion.

▶The disk radially shrinks due to inelastic collision damping and temporary "clumps" form.



Case of Massive Disk (MD,init=0.045Mc)

t=13T_K

Some aggregates re-enter the Roche limit and are disrupted.

►Aggregates grow through collisions with other aggregates or disk particles. Outside the Roche limit, particles start to form gravitationally bound aggregates.



Case of Massive Disk (MD,init=0.045Mc)

 $t = 434T_{K}$

►The satellite and the disk repelled each other due to gravitational interaction

A large amount of disk material has fall into the central plant

t=434Tκ

- A single relatively large satellite is formed and only a very small fraction of the disk material remain (e.g. Ida et al. 1997)
 The formed satellite is on
- nearly circular orbit with low inclination

Case of Less Massive Disk

Case of Less Massive Disk $(M_{D,init}=0.0235M_{c})$ t=5Tκ

Roche limit a_R

► Spirals extend radially outward and disk material is transferred outside the Roche limit.

t=20T_κ

▶The timescale of the disk evolution is longer and mass transfer rate is smaller compared to relatively massive disk.

Case of Less Massive Disk



(M_{D,init}=0.0235M_c)

Ist satellite is smaller compared to the "massive disk" case
There still remain a large

amount of disk material

A small companion is formed with the 1st satellite

t=100TK

Even thought the satellite migrates outward and the disk move inward due to gravitational interaction, not huge amount of disk particles fall into the central planet
 The 1st satellite migrates further

outward

Case of Less Massive Disk



▶2nd satellite is formed from particles piled up at the 2:1 MMR and is locked around the resonance. (M_{D,init}=0.0235M_c)

►1st satellite migrates sufficiently outward and the location of its 2:1 mean motion resonance (MMR) moves just outside the Roche limit, where disk particles are piled up.



Orbital Evolution (Mdisk,init=0.015Mc)



Overall Results

Satellite Mass

When $\Sigma(\text{surface density})=\text{const.}$ F(mass flux) $\propto \Sigma^3$ Thus, $M_{\text{sate}} \propto \Sigma^3 \propto M_{\text{disk,init}}^3$

<u>When decrease of Σ is NOT</u> <u>negligible</u>

single satellite system
 (M_{disk,init}>0.03M_c)
 Considering AM and Mass
 conservation and set Σ(t=∞)=0
 then M_{sate}∝M_{disk,init}

-second satellite form (0.01Mc<Mdisk,init<0.03Mc) Msate∝Mdisk,init²



Satellite Mass on Disk Mass



Dependence on Disk Angular Momentum



Dependence on Disk Angular Momentum



Conclusions

Multiple Satellite Formation (0.01<M_{disk,init}/M_c<0.03)

- -M_s more strongly depends on initial disk mass ($\propto M_{disk,init}^2$) -2nd satellite is in the 2:1 MMR with the 1st satellite -increasing mass with increasing radial distance -nearly circular, coplanar orbit
- -co-orbital satellite can form

Further less massive disk:

-Satellite mass expected to be smaller -3rd, 4th... satellites are expected to be formed