PDF files are openly distributed for the educational purpose only. Reuse and/or modifications of figures and tables in the PDF files are not allowed.

SCATTERING PHASE FUNCTION OF INTERPLANETARY DUST PARTICLES



S. S. HONG ASTRONOMY, SNU

04-09-16, KOBE

Dr. M. Ishiguro Hawaii

This work is a result of long collaborations with Prof. Jerry L. Weinberg and Dr. Suk Minn Kwon. Without Archives of the Space Astronomy Laboratory, Snellville, GA, USA this study could not have been made. I am also grateful to Mr. JeongHyun Pyo and some of the WIZARD members for giving me timely supports and ZL images.

ssh at Awaji, 04-09-17

Scattering Characteristics of Cosmic Dusts

• Diffuse Galactic Light

starlight scattered by interstellar dusts

- Reflection Nebulae
- Comet Tails/ Trails

•

Zodiacal Light (ZL)

Sunlight scattered by interplanetary dusts (IPDs) widest coverage in scattering angle Gegenschein : Θ = 180°



Evening ZL taken by M. Ishiguro with WIZARD on March 2 '03 On top of Mauna Kea, Hawaii, h = 4200m Wide-field Imager of Zodiacal light with ARrray Detector



APOD : Aug 25, 2004 Taken in Namibia, May '04 by Stefan Seip http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/







ZODIACAL LIGHT BRIGHTNESS INTEGRAL



 $\Phi(\Theta, \vec{r})$: mean volume scattering phase function

INVERSION of the ZL BRIGHTNESS INTEGRAL

$$Z\left(\Lambda - \Lambda_{\odot}; \beta\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} F(\vec{r}) n\left(\vec{r}\right) \overline{\sigma}\left(\vec{r}\right) \Phi(\Theta, \vec{r}) dl$$

2-D Distribution of ZL Brightness

- 3-D structure of the IPD cloud complex
- local properties of IPDs in terms of
 - scattering cross-section
 - scattering phase function
- wavelength dependence of the ZL brightness
 - wavelength dependent scattering properties
 - IPDs are grey in the optical !

ISOLATION Of the ZODIACAL LIGHT

In The OBSERVED NIGHT SKY BRIGHTNESS

BS = ST + IS + DG + AG + ADL + ZL

- Resolved Bright Starlight
- Integrated or Un-resolved Starlight
- Diffuse Galactic Light
- Airglow Emission
- Atmospheric Diffuse Light
 - diffuse scattered light of IS, DG, AG, and ZL
- Zodiacal Light
- The diffuse sources are of comparable brightness !



ST, IS, DG, AG, ADL, ZL Brightness Distribution

2-D Distribution of the ZL Brightness



Alt-Azimuth Scans done in 1968 Aug 21/22 with PM Tube on Haleakala, Hawaii, by J.L. Weinberg at 5300 & 5080A

$$Z\left(\Lambda - \Lambda_{\odot}; \beta\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} F(\vec{r}) n\left(\vec{r}\right) \overline{\sigma}\left(\vec{r}\right) \Phi(\Theta, \vec{r}) \ dl$$



Kwon, Hong & Weinberg 2004, New Astronomy, in press

INVERSION of the OBSERVED 2-D ZL

DISTRIBUTION for 3-D MODEL of IPD CLOUD

$$Z\left(\Lambda - \Lambda_{\odot}; \beta\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} F(\vec{r}) n\left(\vec{r}\right) \overline{\sigma}\left(\vec{r}\right) \Phi(\Theta, \vec{r}) \ dl$$

- inaccessible zones in the sky coverage
- temporal changes of the Earth's atmosphere
- annual Modulations in the ZL brightness dcliptic coordinate system may not be the best choice to work with.
- uncertain ADL corrections
- insufficient angular resolution
- mathematically a difficult problem to solve
 - degeneracy
 - sensitive to the input, i.e. ZL
- A Boot Strap Operation we have to rely on !

IN-ECLIPTIC ZL BRIGHTNESS



$$Z\left(\mathcal{E}\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\rm o}\left(\frac{R_{\rm o}}{R}\right)^{2} n_{\rm o}\left(\frac{R_{\rm o}}{R}\right)^{\nu} \,\overline{\sigma} \, \Phi(\Theta) \, dl$$

$$Z\left(\mathcal{E}\right) = \frac{F_{\mathrm{o}} n_{\mathrm{o}} R_{\mathrm{o}} \overline{\sigma}}{\sin^{\nu+1} \mathcal{E}} \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pi} \Phi(\Theta) \sin^{\nu} \Theta \, d\Theta$$







INVERSION of the IN-ECLIPTIC **ZL** DISTRIBUTION for the IPD SCATTERING PHASE FUNCTION Φ (Θ)

$$Z\left(\Lambda - \Lambda_{\odot};\beta\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} F(\vec{r}) n\left(\vec{r}\right) \overline{\sigma}\left(\vec{r}\right) \Phi(\Theta, \vec{r}) dl$$

 $Z(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\zeta \,\overline{\sigma}}{\sin^{\nu+1} \mathcal{E}} \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pi} \Phi(\Theta) \sin^{\nu} \Theta \, d\Theta, \quad \text{with } \zeta \text{ being } F_{\mathrm{o}} \, R_{\mathrm{o}} \, n_{\mathrm{o}}$

Local properties have been replaced by Global simplifications. power-law distribution is introduced for density distribution vspatial uniformity is assumed for σ and $\Phi(\Theta)$ Only the in-ecliptic ZL brightness is utilized as the input data. $Z (\Lambda - \Lambda sun, \beta) \Rightarrow Z(\Lambda - \Lambda sun, \beta = 0)$ An Integral Equation for $\Phi(\Theta)$ with observationally known $Z(\varepsilon)$!

Why Are We Interested in the Scattering Phase Function $\Phi(\Theta)$?

- Optical Properties of the IPDs characteristic size, composition, and structures/lab exp
- · Vertical Density Stratifications of the IPD cloud

$$Z\left(\Lambda - \Lambda_{\odot}; \beta\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} F(\vec{r}) n\left(\vec{r}\right) \overline{\sigma}\left(\vec{r}\right) \Phi(\Theta, \vec{r}) \ dl$$

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\Theta, \vec{r}) &\simeq \Phi(\Theta) \; ; \quad \overline{\sigma}(\vec{r}) \simeq \overline{\sigma} \; ; \quad n(\vec{r}) \simeq n(R) \mathcal{H}(\beta_{\rm o}) \\ Z\left(\Lambda - \Lambda_{\odot}; \beta\right) &\simeq \overline{\sigma} \int_0^\infty F_{\rm o} \left(\frac{r_{\rm o}}{r}\right)^2 n\left(R\right) \mathcal{H}(\beta_{\rm o}) \Phi(\Theta) \; dl \end{split}$$

· 3-D Model of the IPD cloud !

But we haven't come to the 'promised land' yet.

DIFFERENTIAL INVERSION with IN-ECLIPTIC **ZL**

$$Z\left(\mathcal{E}\right) = \frac{\zeta \,\overline{\sigma}}{\sin^{\nu+1} \mathcal{E}} \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pi} \Phi(\Theta) \sin^{\nu} \Theta \, d\Theta.$$

Take derivative of $Z(\varepsilon)$ with respect to solar elongation :

$$\Phi(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{\zeta \,\overline{\sigma}} \left[\left(\nu + 1\right) Z(\mathcal{E}) \cos \mathcal{E} + \sin \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} Z(\mathcal{E}) \right]_{\mathcal{E}=\Theta}$$

Replace the integral by a quadrature sum :

$$Z\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}\right) = \frac{\zeta \,\overline{\sigma}}{\sin^{\nu+1} \mathcal{E}_{i}} \sum_{\Theta_{j} = \mathcal{E}_{i}}^{\pi} W_{ij} \sin^{\nu} \Theta_{j} \Phi(\Theta_{j}) \,\Delta\Theta_{j}$$

 \Rightarrow Upper triangular system of linear equations

 \Rightarrow Backward substitution yields $\Phi(\Theta)$

An INTEGRAL METHOD of INVERSION :

H-G REPRESENTATION of the SCATTERING PHASE FUNTION for IPDS

- employ a parametric function for $\Phi(\Theta)$ in the brightness integral
- synthesize $Z_{syn}(\varepsilon)$ and construct its residual from $Z_{obs}(\varepsilon)$
- optimize the parameter set by minimizing the residual
- Henyey-Greenstein Function

76

L. G. HENYEY AND J. L. GREENSTEIN

ing is now available. We have carried out our computations, using a phase function of the form

$$\Phi(a) = \frac{\gamma(1-g^2)}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{I}}{(1+g^2-2g\cos a)^{3/2}}.$$
 (2)

The phase angle is α , defined as the deviation of the ray from the forward direction; γ is the spherical albedo; the parameter g measures the asymmetry of the phase function, according to the expression

Henyey-Greenstein Function

$$\phi_{\rm HG}(\Theta;\,g) \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1-g^2}{(1+g^2-2g\cos\Theta)^{3/2}}$$

$$\begin{split} 1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \phi_{\mathrm{HG}}(\Theta\,;\,g)\,d(\cos\Theta) \\ g &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \phi_{\mathrm{HG}}(\Theta\,;\,g)\,\cos\Theta\,d(\cos\Theta) \\ f &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\mathrm{HG}}(\Theta\,;\,g)\,d(\cos\Theta) \end{split}$$



$$\phi_{\rm HG}(0) = \frac{1+g}{(1-g)^2} \; ; \; \phi_{\rm HG}(\pi) = \frac{1-g}{(1+g)^2} \; ; \; f = \frac{1+g}{2g} \left[1 - \frac{1-g}{(1+g^2)^{1/2}} \right]$$



Characteristics of $\Phi(\Theta)$

• an example of phase function $m = 1.33; \lambda = 0.5 \mu m$ collection of water droplets with size distributed over some range

- a very sharp diffraction peak $\Theta \le 180^{\circ}$ /size parameter x
- strongly forward-throwing part
- more-or-less isotropic middle
- backward enhancement

$$\Phi(\Theta) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{3} w_k \, \phi(\Theta; \, g_k) \quad \text{with condition} \quad \sum_{1}^{3} w_k = 1$$

a five parameter representation

$$Z(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\zeta \,\overline{\sigma}}{\sin^{\nu+1} \mathcal{E}} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pi} w_k \phi_{\mathrm{HG}}(\Theta; g_k) \,\sin^{\nu} \Theta \, d\Theta$$

For the case of $\nu = 1$ this becomes

$$Z(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\zeta_1 \,\overline{\sigma}_1}{\sin^2 \mathcal{E}} \, \sum_{k=1}^3 \, \frac{w_k}{4\pi} \frac{1 - g_k}{g_k} \left[\frac{1 + g_k}{(1 + g_k^2 - 2g_k \cos \mathcal{E})^{1/2}} - 1 \right]$$

A closed form of integral with v = 1 makes an evaluation of the brightness integral very easy. An optimization of H-G parameters becomes a simple matter.



filled circles: 5300Å, Hawaii, Weinberg '63 open circles: 5000Å, Tenerife, Dumont & Sanchez '75 triangles: 3 λ s, Rocket, Leinert et al. '76 eclipse data ϵ below 15° excluded diffraction dominated part/ Bessel J_1 better than H-G Lamy & Perrin '85; Mann '92; Davidson et al.'95 in total 23 points selected along the ecliptic

- Would the integral method give us a 'stable' solution?
- Would then this method 'discriminate' the open circle data from filled one?



- Random errors with relative amplitude less than 5% give us essentially the same combination of asymmetry factors.
- The Hawaii data result in an unsatisfactory combination of asymmetry factors.
- ⇒ The H-G integral method is robust but sensitive.



Asymmetry factors g_k	0.70	-0.20	-0.81
Weights w _k	0.665	0.330	0.005
$\zeta_1 \overline{\sigma}_1$ in $S_{10}(V)_{\odot}$ sr		4.61 10 ³	

Generalize $\Phi_1(\Theta)$ to $\Phi_{\nu}(\Theta)$ with ν Other than 1

$$\Phi(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{\zeta \,\overline{\sigma}} \left[\left(\nu + 1\right) Z(\mathcal{E}) \cos \mathcal{E} + \sin \mathcal{E} \,\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} Z(\mathcal{E}) \right]_{\mathcal{E}=\Theta}$$

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\nu}(\Theta) &= -\frac{1}{\zeta_{\nu} \,\overline{\sigma}_{\nu}} \, \left[(\nu+1) \, Z(\mathcal{E}) \cos \mathcal{E} + \sin \mathcal{E} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \, Z(\mathcal{E}) \right]_{\mathcal{E}=\Theta} \\ \Phi_{1}(\Theta) &= -\frac{1}{\zeta_{1} \,\overline{\sigma}_{1}} \, \left[(1+1) \, Z(\mathcal{E}) \cos \mathcal{E} + \sin \mathcal{E} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{E}} \, Z(\mathcal{E}) \, \right]_{\mathcal{E}=\Theta} \end{split}$$

Consequently we have

$$\Phi_{\nu}(\Theta) = \frac{\zeta_1 \overline{\sigma}_1}{\zeta_{\nu} \overline{\sigma}_{\nu}} \left[\Phi_1(\mathcal{E}) - (\nu - 1) \cos \mathcal{E} \frac{Z(\mathcal{E})}{\zeta_1 \overline{\sigma}_1} \right]_{\mathcal{E}=\Theta}$$



The Tenerife+Rocket data imposes too strict a constraint upon the power-law exponent for the IPD density distribution: $v \le 1.15$

New ISOLATION OF ZODIACAL LIGHT

In the OBSERVED NIGHT SKY BRIGHTNESS

BS = ST + IS + DG + AG + ADL + ZL

- Resolved Bright Starlight /catalogues
- Integrated or Un-resolved Starlight/Pioneer Obs.
- Diffuse Galactic Light /Pioneer Observations
- Airglow Emission /modeling with van Rhijn function
- Atmospheric Diffuse Light/multiple scattering
 - Quasi-Diffusion Method
 - The 'effective tau' by Dumont turns out to be a partial success.

\Rightarrow ZL is newly determined over almost the entire sky that can be reached by ground-based observations with 2° resolution.

Kwon, Hong & Weinberg 2004, New Astronomy, in press





Circumsolar Dust Ring

of the Earth's Mean Motion Resonance



Dermott et al. 1994



The In-Ecliptic ZL BRIGHTNESS

A remarkable agreement is found with L-R&D '80.

An agreement from two totally different approaches !

Yet, there exist subtle differences between the two.



New DETERMINATION OF MEAN VOLUME SCATTERING PHASE FUNTION FOR IPDs



dotted; Tenerife data solid ; newly reduced Haleakala data g_k + 0.76 - 0.31 - 0.82 w_k 0.785 0.214 0.001

This is a preliminary result.



Generalized to

v = 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3

no turn over at small scattering angle

$$Z\left(\mathcal{E}\right) = \frac{\zeta \,\overline{\sigma}}{\sin^{\nu+1} \mathcal{E}} \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pi} \Phi(\Theta) \sin^{\nu} \Theta \, d\Theta$$

DISCUSSION and **CONCLUSION**

• Next step inversion is to be done with ZL taken along the maximum density plane, and also over geoecliptic latitudes.

 $\cos \epsilon = \cos (\Lambda - \Lambda_{\bullet}) \cos \beta$

- Origin of the structures seen in the ZL distribution
 - $\Delta ZL \leftarrow \Delta n(r)$; MMR, tilt of max density plane

asteroid/comet debris

- $\Delta \Phi(\Theta)$; ones in large elongation angles polarization reversal at ~152°
- How to disentangle them ?

an improvement in spatial resolution with $\ensuremath{\mathsf{WIZARD}}$

type instruments by direct imaging

laboratory scattering experiments/ dynamical simulations comparison of ZL and ZE distributions over large sky area

We saw subtle difference in the ZL brightness between L-R&D and KHW at the middle solar elongation angles. This has removed the abrupt turn-over at small scattering angles, which demonstrates consistency between the newly reduced ZL and the resulting $\Phi(\Theta)$. Key References:

Dumont, R. 1976, in Interplanetary Dust and Zodiacal Light, Lect. Notes Phys. 48, eds. H. Elsässer and H. Fechtig, p. 85.

Dumont, R. and Levasseur-Regourd, A.-C. 1985, Zodiacal Light Gathered along the Line of Sight: Retrieval of the Local Sacttering Coefficient from Surveys of the Ecliptic. *Planet. Space Sci.* 33, 1-9.

Hong, S.S. 1985, Henyey-Greenstein Representation of the Mean Volume Scattering Phase Function for Zodiacal Dust. Astron. Astrophys. 146, 67-75.

Hong, S.S., Kwon, S.M., Park, Y.-S., and Park, C. 1998, Transfer of Diffuse Astronomical Light and Airglow in Scattering Earth Atmosphere. *Earth Planets Space* 50, 487-491.

van de Hulst, H.C. 1980, MULTIPLE LIGHT SCATTERING Tables, Formulas, and Applications. Vol 1 & 2, (New York: Academic Press), pp 739.

Kwon, S.M., Hong, S.S. and Weinberg, J.L. 2004, An Observational Model of the Zodiacal Light Brightness Distribution. New Astronomy, in press.

Lamy, P.L. and Perrin, J.M. 1986, Volume Scattering Function and Space Distribution of the Interplanetary Dust Cloud. Astron. Astrophys. 163, 269-286.

Leinert, Ch. et al. 1998, The 1997 Reference of Diffuse Night Sky Brightness. Astron. Astrophys. 127, 1-99.

Price, S.D. 1997, Analytic Rationale for the "Nodes of Lesser Uncertainty" Inversion of the Zodiacal Brightness Integral. *ICARUS*, 127, 485-493.